

Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

REPORT INFORMATION:

1993 Botwick, B., V. Busby, and M. Newman
Phase II Archaeological Investigations at the Sorrell Site (18HO190), Howard County,
Maryland.
Submitted to the Maryland State Highway Administration
Library ID No: 00005871 Catalog/Shelving ID: HO 51

Research Firm/Institution:

Louis Berger & Associates, Inc.
801 East Main St., Suite 500
Richmond, VA 23219

Sites examined:

18HO190

NRHP Eligible: ☒

[Justification](#)

Project Details:

Phase I

Phase II



Phase III

Project Justification:

The Maryland SHA proposes to create a wetland mitigation site south of Cricket Creek as part of the proposed construction of Maryland Route 32. The Sorrell Site (18HO190) was identified by SHA archeologists during a Phase I cultural resource survey through the area proposed for the wetland mitigation site. Based on the findings of the Phase I survey, the site was immediately recommended for Phase II testing.

MAC Accession: 1993.029

Project Objectives:

- Refine the site's horizontal and vertical boundaries.
- Identify the time periods and functions of the component or components present.
- Establish whether or not delineated components possess intact subsurface deposits.

Research Potential:

Site 18HO190 is similar to many other sites in the region and thus represents redundant information about settlement-subsistence strategies in the Piedmont. This combined with the disturbed nature of the Ap-horizon (where most of the artifacts were encountered) and the lack of intact features, indicates that the Sorrell site does not appear to possess significant research potential.